

AUG 11 2006

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

**CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**

MARI SILOGYAN,

Petitioner,

v.

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney
General,

Respondent.

No. 05-73716

Agency No. A79-271-027

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted August 7, 2006**

Before: SCHROEDER, Chief Judge, REINHARDT and HAWKINS, Circuit Judges.

We have reviewed petitioner's opening brief. Petitioner's counsel failed to discuss any of the merits of this petition for review and did not respond to the motion for summary disposition. Petitioner's counsel raises no challenge to the Board of

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

05-73716

Immigration Appeals' decision dated May 26, 2005, which denied petitioner's motion to reopen. Respondent's unopposed motion for summary disposition is granted because the questions raised by this petition for review are so insubstantial as not to require further argument. *See United States v. Hooton*, 693 F.2d 857, 858 (9th Cir. 1982) (per curiam) (stating standard). Accordingly, this petition for review is denied.

To the extent petitioner challenges the Board of Immigration Appeals' decision of February 22, 2005, which denied her asylum appeal, this court lacks jurisdiction to consider petitioner's arguments because petitioner did not file a timely petition for review of that decision. *See Membreno v. Gonzales*, 425 F.3d 1227 (9th Cir. 2005).

DENIED in part and DISMISSED in part.